REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-17 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 4, 8, and 13-17 are amended by the present amendment. As amended Claims 1, 4, 8, and 13-17 are supported by the original claims, no new matter is added. Further, since amended Claims 1, 8, and 13 are supported by original Claim 4, no new issues are raised. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the present amendment should be entered and considered.

In the outstanding Official Action, Claims 1-3 and 5-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Mackintosh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,317,784, hereinafter "Mackintosh"). Claim 4 was indicated as including allowable subject matter.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the indication that Claim 4 includes allowable subject matter.

Claims 13-17 are amended to comply with 35 U.S.C. §101.

With regard to the rejection of Claims 1-3 and 5-17 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Mackintosh, that rejection is respectfully traversed.

Amended Claims 1 and 13 recite in part:

assigning a service session ID to the broadcast receiver; performing an authentication process on the acquisition request based on the session ID; transmitting the associated information to the broadcast receiver if the authentication process is successful.

The subject matter added to Claims 1 and 13 was previously included in Claim 4, which was indicated as including allowable subject matter. In this regard, it is respectively submitted that Mackintosh does *not* describe performing an authentication process.

Mackintosh only describes a system that receives information pertaining to broadcasted

materials and provides that information to user terminal 212. It is respectfully submitted that Mackintosh does not describe any requirements on providing information to the user terminal 212, much less transmitting information to the user if an authentication process is successful. As noted above Mackintosh does not describe performing any authentication processes, much less an authentication process based on a session ID. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Mackintosh does not teach or suggest "performing an authentication process on the acquisition request based on the session ID" and "transmitting the associated information to the broadcast receiver if the authentication process is successful" as recited in amended Claims 1 and 13. Consequently, Claims 1 and 13 (and Claims 2-6 and 14-17 dependent therefrom) are not anticipated by Mackintosh and are patentable thereover.

Amended Claim 8 recites in part:

an assignment unit configured to assign a service session ID to the broadcast receiver;

an authentication unit configured to performing an authentication process on the acquisition request based on the session ID and provide an indication that the authentication process was successful or unsuccessful;

a transmitter configured to read the associated information stored in the database synchronously with timing to accept the acquisition request by the acceptance unit as transmitted information and to transmit the associated information to the broadcast receiver if the authentication unit indicates that the authentication process was successful.

As noted above with respect to Claims 1 and 13, <u>Mackintosh</u> does not teach or suggest performing any authentication processes before sending information to user terminal 212. Thus, <u>Mackintosh</u> does not teach "an assignment unit," "an authentication unit," and "a transmitter" as defined in amended Claim 8. Therefore, amended Claim 8 (and Claims 9-12 dependent therefrom) is also patentable over Mackintosh.

¹See Mackintosh, column 9, line 61 to column 10, line 29.

Application No. 10/563,315 Reply to Office Action of July 5, 2007

Accordingly, the outstanding rejection is traversed and the pending claims are believed to be in condition for formal allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is, therefore, respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

1:\ATTY\ET\277510US\277510US-AMD10.5.07.DOC

Bradley D. Lytle Attorney of Record

Registration No. 40,073

Edward Tracy

Edward W. Tracy, Jr. Registration No. 47,998